A Novel Fused Metallaborane Cluster formed *via* Gold–Phosphorus Bond Cleavage: The Crystal Structure of $[{HFe_4(CO)_{12}BH}_2Au][Au(PPh_2Me)_2]$

Catherine E. Housecroft,** Arnold L. Rheingold,*b and Musa S. Shongwe*

^a University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, U.K.

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, U.S.A.

A product of the reaction of $[HFe_4(CO)_{12}BH][(Ph_3P)_2N]$ with Me₂PhPAuCl or MePh₂PAuCl is the cluster $[{HFe_4(CO)_{12}BH}_2Au][AuL_2]$ (L = PPh₂Me or PPhMe₂), the anion of which contains two tetrairon butterfly, boron containing, fragments linked by a naked gold atom; the formation of the linked product rather than a simple monogold(I) derivative of type $[HFe_4(CO)_{12}{Au(PR_3)BH}]$ is shown to depend upon the phosphine substituent.

Over the past decade, the literature has witnessed an increasing number of transition metal cluster compounds which incorporate gold(I) phosphine fragments as cluster building blocks.^{1—5} The gold(I) phosphine generally adopts either an edge-bridging or face-capping environment. More-

over, in the case of monogold derivatives, the location of the $AuPR_3$ unit tends to mimic that of an endo-hydrogen atom in the parent neutral cluster.^{1,5} The usual observation, then, is that the gold(I) phosphine retains its integrity. Contrast this with the situation in solutions of the simple gold(I) phosphine





Figure 1. Molecular structure and labelling scheme for the $[{HFe_4(CO)_{12}BH}_2Au]^-$ anion. Au(1)–Fe(4) 2.615(1); Fe(1)–Fe(2) 2.630(2); Fe(1)–Fe(3) 2.649(2); Fe(1)–Fe(4) 2.660(2); Fe(2)–Fe(3) 2.650(2); Fe(2)–Fe(4) 2.668(2); Au(1)–B 2.300(12); Fe(1)–B 2.065(13); Fe(2)–B 2.082(12) Å; Fe(1)–B–Fe(2) 78.7(4); Fe(3)–B–Fe(4) 75.1(4); B–Au(1)–B(a) 25.8(6); B–Au(1)–Fe(4) 47.2(3); Fe(4)–Au(1)–Fe(4a) 143.4(1)°. Au(3) is a chemically equivalent site for Au(1) with a site occupancy of 0.098. Iron-butterfly internal dihedral angle = 116.6(3)°. Dihedral angle for the two [Fe–Au–B] planes = 30.9(5)°.

halides themselves; in the presence of even small amounts of excess of phosphine, L, equilibria arise which lead to the formation of the cations $[AuL_n]^+$ (n = 2-4).⁶⁻¹⁴ However, at the cluster level, formation of this type of species appears to be a less recognised phenomenon. In one example, the cluster, $[HOs_3(CO)_{10}(AuPR_3)]$ (R = Ph; Et), undergoes cleavage of the Au-P bond in the presence of $[(Ph_3P)_2N]^+$ to form $[{HOs_3(CO)_{10}}_2Au][(Ph_3P)_2N];^{15}$ however, in the first instance, the reaction of $[HOs_3(CO)_{10}]^-$ with AuPR_3Cl (R = Ph; Et) proceeds smoothly to give $[HOs_3(CO)_{10}(AuPR_3)]$ as an isolable product,¹⁶ and only when the compound is refluxed in a dichloromethane solution of $[(Ph_3P)_2N]$ Cl does cluster fusion occur.¹⁵ Preparation of a similarly linked cluster anion, $[{Pt_3(\mu-CO)_3(PPh_3)_3}_2Au]^-$ uses Au(L)Cl (L = CO or Me₂S) rather than gold(1) phosphines.¹⁷

Recently, we reported Au-P bond cleavage leading to the exchange reaction between the cluster bound triethylphosphine ligands of [Fe₄(CO)₁₂(AuPEt₃)₂BH], and the triphenylphosphine ligands of the cation $[(Ph_3P)_2N]^+$.¹⁸ We now report the synthesis and crystal structure of a novel, metal-rich metallaborane, $[{HFe_4(CO)_{12}BH}_2Au]$ - $[Au(PPh_2Me)_2]$ (1), the formation of which relies upon Au-P bond rupture, and is reminiscent of solution reactions of gold(1) phosphine halides. When LAuCl ($L = MePh_2P$ or Me_2PhP) and $[HFe_4(CO)_{12}BH][(Ph_3P)_2N]$ react together for 45 min at room temperature in CH₂Cl₂ in the presence of $TlPF_6$, a colour change from brown to dark green is observed. After removal of solvent in vacuo, extraction with Et₂O, and centrifugal chromatographic separation, (1)[†] is obtained in $\sim 20\%$ yield. Crystals of (1) suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by using a fortuitous mix of Me₂PhP and MePh₂P (~1 to 2 ratio) and were grown from CH_2Cl_2 layered with

hexane.[‡] The structure of the [{HFe₄(CO)₁₂BH}₂Au]⁻ anion contained in (1) is shown in Figure 1. The product anion is readily described as consisting of two [HFe₄(CO)₁₂BH]⁻ units, linked *via* an Au⁺ cation; indeed the structural parameters of each tetrairon butterfly HFe₄(CO)₁₂BH fragment in (1) vary little from those of the neutral cluster [HFe₄(CO)₁₂BH₂].¹⁹ The two HFe₄(CO)₁₂BH fragments are related by a two-fold axis which passes through the gold atom of the [{HFe₄(CO)₁₂BH}₂Au]⁻ anion. Co-ordination about the Au atom deviates from planarity by a 30.9(5)° spiro-twist

 $\ddagger Crystal data$ for (1): $C_{50}H_{26}B_2O_{24}P_2Au_2Fe_8$, monoclinic, space group C2/c, a = 21.704(3), b = 9.542(2), c = 29.717(6) Å, $\beta = 97.50(1)^\circ$, U = 6102.0(19) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 2.106$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo- K_{α}) = 69.7 cm⁻¹, T = 293 K. Of 5220 reflections collected (Nicolet R3m diffractometer, $4^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 48^{\circ}$), 4785 were independent ($R_{int} = 2.6\%$) and corrected for absorption. At the $4\sigma(F_0)$ level, 3050 reflections were observed. The Au atom locations were observed from a Patterson synthesis, and the remaining non-hydrogen atoms from subsequent difference Fourier syntheses. The asymmetric unit consists of half of the $[Au(PR_3)_2]^+$ cation with the Au atom on an inversion centre, and half of the $[{HFe_4(CO)_{12}BH}_2Au]^-$ anion with the Au atom on a two-fold rotational axis. The cation phosphine molecules are a mixture of PPh₂Me and PPhMe₂ with the former found, from occupancy refinement, to consist of 63(1)% of the mixture. The anion contains two chemically equivalent Au-atom sites, Au(1) and Au(3), with occupancies of 0.402(1) and 0.098(1), respectively. Both sites are on the two-fold axis. At convergence, with all non-hydrogen atoms anisotropic except the carbon atoms of the partially occupied phenyl rings (which were additionally constrained to planar hexagons to conserve data), and cation hydrogen atoms incorporated as idealised contributions, $R_F = 4.59\%$, $R_{wF} = 6.22\%$, GOF = 1.032, $\Delta/\sigma = 0.13$, $\Delta(\rho) = 1.02 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3} [1.06 \text{ Å} \text{ from Au}(2)]$. All computations used SHELXTL(5.1) written by G. Sheldrick, and distributed by Nicolet XRD, Madison, WI. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

⁺ [{HFe₄(CO)₁₂BH}₂Au]⁻: 250 MHz ¹H n.m.r. (CD₂Cl₂) δ -6.5 (br., Fe-H-B), -24.9 (s, Fe-H-Fe); 128 MHz ¹¹B n.m.r. (CD₂Cl₂) δ 135.4; i.r. (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹) v_{CO} 2066 m, 2034 vs, 2003 m, 1980 s.

bridges. The synthesis of (1) provides a novel departure from the more generally exemplified routes to neutral gold(I) phosphine cluster derivatives. The driving force for the formation of (1) is therefore of interest. We note that the stoicheiometry of (1) is equivalent to that of a monogold derivative of formula HFe₄(CO)₁₂(AuPPh- $HFe_4(CO)_{12}(AuPPh_2Me)BH$ or Me₂)BH. For the phosphines PPh₃,¹⁸ P(p-Me-C₆H₄),²³ and $P(C_6H_{11})_{3,23}$ such a monogold derivative has indeed been isolated, but for PPhMe₂, PPh₂Me, PMe₃, and PEt₃, the ionic product is observed. It is tempting to suggest that HFe₄- $(CO)_{12}(AuL)BH$ is an intermediate in the formation of (1) and that a ligand redistribution reaction then leads to (1). Cluster mediated Au-P bond fission, and the interaction of the {HFe₄(CO)₁₂(Au)BH} fragment with starting anion, $[HFe_4(CO)_{12}BH]^-$, are considered to be crucial steps in the formation of (1).

tions are in keeping with Fe(3)-H-B and Fe(1)-H-Fe(2)

We thank the Cambridge Commonwealth Trust, and Tate and Lyle Corp. (to M. S. S.) for support, and the Royal Society for a 1983 Research Fellowship (to C. E. H.). The N.S.F. is acknowledged for a grant towards the purchase of a diffractometer at the University of Delaware.

Received, 29th July 1988; Com. 8/03103G

References

- 1 J. W. Lauher and K. Wald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 7648.
- § Compare with CO ligand orientations in [HFe₄(CO)₁₂BH₂].¹⁹

- 2 K. P. Hall and D. M. P. Mingos, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, **32**, 237 and references therein.
- 3 C. P. Horowitz, E. M. Holt, C. P. Brock, and D. F. Shriver, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 8136 and references therein.
- 4 J. N. Nicholls and M. D. Vargas, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 1986, 30, 123 and references therein.
- 5 D. G. Evans and D. M. P. Mingos, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, . 232, 171.
- 6 J. M. Meyer and A. L. Allred, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1968, 30, 1328.
- 7 A. D. Westland, Can. J. Chem., 1969, 47, 4135.
- 8 E. L. Muetterties, W. G. Peet, P. A. Wegner, and C. W. Alegranti, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1970, 9, 2447.
- 9 C. B. Colburn, W. E. Hill, C. A. McAuliffe, and R. V. Parish, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1979, 218.
- 10 C. A. McAuliffe, R. V. Parish, and P. D. Randall, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1979, 1730.
- 11 M. J. Mays and P. A. Vergnano, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1979, 1112.
- 12 R. V. Parish, O. Parry, and C. A. McAuliffe, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1981, 2098.
- 13 S. J. Berners-Price, M. A. Mazid, and P. J. Sadler, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 969.
- 14 S. J. Berners-Price, P. S. Jarrett, and P. J. Sadler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, 26, 3074.
- 15 B. F. G. Johnson, D. A. Kaner, J. Lewis, and P. R. Raithby, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 753.
- 16 B. F. G. Johnson, D. A. Kaner, J. Lewis, and P. R. Raithby, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 215, C33.
- 17 M. F. Hallam, D. M. P. Mingos, T. Adatia, and M. McPartlin, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1988, 335.
- 18 K. S. Harpp and C. E. Housecroft, J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, 340, 389.
- 19 T. P. Fehlner, C. E. Housecroft, W. R. Scheidt, and K. S. Wong, Organometallics, 1983, 2. 825.
- 20 C. E. Housecroft and A. L. Rheingold, Organometallics, 1987, 6, 1332.
- 21 K. S. Harpp, C. E. Housecroft, A. L. Rheingold, and M. S. Shongwe, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 965.
- 22 C. E. Housecroft, A. L. Rheingold, and M. S. Shongwe, Organometallics, 1988, 1885.
- 23 C. E. Housecroft and M. S. Shongwe, unpublished observations.